

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/023,416	02/13/1998	TADAHIRO OHMI	980150	6923
75	90 02/25/2002			
ARMSTRONG WESTERMAN HATTORI			EXAMINER	
MCLELAND & NAUGHTON			FOX, JOHN C	
SUITE 1000 1725 K STREE	TNW			
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3753	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit 3753
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address
P ri d for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SEOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	s, a reply within the statutory mini ofault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2-1-02	
This action is FINAL .		·
 Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		
Disp sition of Claims		
©Claim(s)	3-6	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	in the second the state of the second the second to the second the second to the secon	
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents humber)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents humber)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents humber)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948. is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents humber) e International Bureau (PCT	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) s of the priority documents humber) e International Bureau (PCT	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. disapproved. Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Serial Number: 09/023416

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed February 1, 2002.

Claims 3-6 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Election of Species A, claims 1-2, was made without traverse in Paper No. 27.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Itafuji (5,819,782) in view of Markulec et al (5,836,355), both of record. Itafuji shows a gas supply system including on/off valves 4 and 6 and MFC 5. The ports of the valves are in a row, see Figures 3 and 8, and the mounting blocks have ports which are coplanar. It is not clear if the valves all have the same pattern. Markulec et al shows a similar system and teaches that all of the bases have a standardized face and all of the components, including the valves, have a standardized face such that any component may be mounted to any block, allowing for rapid configuration of a system. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a standardized mounting scheme in the system of Itafuji to similarly allow for a rapid configuration of a system.

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. The move from piped to together systems

Serial Number: 09/023416

Art Unit: 3753

to integrated base manifolds occurred prior to the effective filing date of this application, and the rejection is still seen to be well founded. See Figures 14-15 of Itafuji, and Figure 1 of Markulec et al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Fox at (703) 308-2595 or John.Fox@uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The fax number for Art Unit 3753 is (703) 308-7765. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art Unit 3753 is Michael Buiz who can be reached at (703) 308-2580 or at Michael.Buiz@uspto.gov.

Serial Number: 09/023416

Art Unit: 3753

JOHN FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3753

jcf February 23, 2002